

1. Please rate the following technical aspects of the NRAO Proposal Planning webinar experience					 Create Chart	 Download
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Response Count	
<b>Quality of Video</b>	<b>42.9% (6)</b>	35.7% (5)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14	
<b>Quality of Audio</b>	7.7% (1)	<b>46.2% (6)</b>	30.8% (4)	15.4% (2)	13	
<b>Ease of connection</b>	<b>50.0% (7)</b>	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14	
<b>Helpfulness of NRAO staff with connection issues</b>	<b>37.5% (3)</b>	<b>37.5% (3)</b>	25.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	8	
				Comments <a href="#">Hide Responses</a>	6	

couldn't connect to the video via webex though. was using mac and webex quitted every time immediately after it's opened by the browser. had to use skype and download the ppt file separately.

Had to listen via telephone.

Sound was very bad since too many devices to fully appreciate the magnitud of this event, which could have been done easily with sound on video streaming...maybe next time.

Although the video link was fine, there wasn't much point to the video image of the presenter as it did not convey any additional information (presenter wasn't pointing out things on the screen or illustrating anything with gestures or props - at least for ALMA segment). Although it was easy to connect once I knew that I needed a phone in addition to my laptop, it was a bit of a scramble to discover this at the last minute as it meant scrambling to find a speaker phone I could borrow (holding a phone and taking notes at the same time is awkward). [It is possible that it was written in the emails we received last week and I missed it. I was at a conference and so only scanning messages] [Interesting that you have pre-decided for the respondents of the survey that everything was at least "fair"! Note that I hardly ever rate anything as "Excellent" as it is almost always possible to do better. There are cultural differences in how people respond to Likert-like scales]

Would it possible to use a platform which combine audio and video? I use skype or gmail. I am based in Mexico calls to US are always charged.

If I was being picky, the "beeps" on the phone every time someone joined or left were annoying, because they would interrupt the speaker.

2. Current ALMA Capabilities and Proposal Considerations					Create Chart	Download
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Response Count	
<b>Presentation covered information that I needed</b>	50.0% (7)	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14	
<b>Level was appropriate</b>	50.0% (7)	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	7.1% (1)	14	
<b>Pace was appropriate</b>	28.6% (4)	28.6% (4)	28.6% (4)	14.3% (2)	14	
<b>Questions were answered adequately</b>	30.8% (4)	38.5% (5)	23.1% (3)	7.7% (1)	13	
				Comments <a href="#">Show Responses</a>	4	

Very powerfull team. Well done! Now lets share the instruments and minds with worldwide interested in learning radio astronomy.

Could perhaps have spent less time on ALMA generalities (e.g. what the full array will be like) and spent more time on nitty gritty e.g. of spectral set-up. Presenter could have delved more in general given that there was plenty of time. Working through an example might have been useful. Pace was a little bit too fast.

Slightly fast to make notes on all the relevant material, but access to the slides later will help with that.

3. Planning a GBT Proposal					Create Chart	Download
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Response Count	
<b>Presentation covered information that I needed</b>	14.3% (1)	57.1% (4)	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	7	
<b>Level was appropriate</b>	14.3% (1)	42.9% (3)	42.9% (3)	0.0% (0)	7	
<b>Pace was appropriate</b>	14.3% (1)	42.9% (3)	42.9% (3)	0.0% (0)	7	
<b>Questions were answered adequately</b>	14.3% (1)	71.4% (5)	14.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	7	

I guess that if speaker were shown a simple approved proposal rather than capabilities, and one other bad proposal then GBT would had been great. Maybe next time...

Only listened to the ALMA part

4. Current & Future JVLA Capabilities					 Create Chart	 Download
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Response Count	
<b>Presentation covered information that I needed</b>	<b>40.0% (4)</b>	20.0% (2)	<b>40.0% (4)</b>	0.0% (0)	10	
<b>Level was appropriate</b>	<b>50.0% (5)</b>	20.0% (2)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	10	
<b>Pace was appropriate</b>	<b>40.0% (4)</b>	30.0% (3)	30.0% (3)	0.0% (0)	10	
<b>Questions were answered adequately</b>	37.5% (3)	<b>50.0% (4)</b>	12.5% (1)	0.0% (0)	8	

Speaker was too serious and gave the impression that facilities are for experts rather than juniors.

Only listened to the ALMA part

5. Current & Future VLBA Capabilities					 Create Chart	 Download
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Response Count	
<b>Presentation covered information that I needed</b>	28.6% (2)	28.6% (2)	<b>42.9% (3)</b>	0.0% (0)	7	
<b>Level was appropriate</b>	<b>42.9% (3)</b>	28.6% (2)	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	7	
<b>Pace was appropriate</b>	<b>42.9% (3)</b>	28.6% (2)	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	7	
<b>Questions were answered adequately</b>	33.3% (2)	<b>50.0% (3)</b>	16.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	6	

It does not show properly where is the head of facilities, and if there are capabilities of doing observations from any of them to maximize time travel. Do not seem to have accessibility to students...?

Only listened to the ALMA part

## 6. Proposing for JVLA and VLBA Time

 Create Chart
  Download

	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Response Count
<b>Presentation covered information that I needed</b>	28.6% (2)	<b>42.9% (3)</b>	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	7
<b>Level was appropriate</b>	14.3% (1)	<b>57.1% (4)</b>	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	7
<b>Pace was appropriate</b>	14.3% (1)	<b>57.1% (4)</b>	28.6% (2)	0.0% (0)	7
<b>Questions were answered adequately</b>	28.6% (2)	<b>57.1% (4)</b>	14.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	7

No comments. I did not follow this since sound was getting annoying over the phone...sorry!

Only listened to the ALMA part

#### GENERAL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS:

It would have been much better to hve the udio together with the video, for those that could not have a telephone connection. In any case the slides were well prepared and I could follow the webinar even without audio connection.

In general, it was good; and plenty of room for improvement, which it is good. On the other hand, those online were left out for second day which it was VERY bad strategy. This was like a discriminatory issue...! May be next time, planning will include plan-B for those not of face-to-face meeting. Best wishes and good observing; and congratulations to this magnificent collaborative team.

Having the audio over the weblink would have been much more convenient for me. As far as having a live presentation, illustrating points live using e.g. the OT or the Sensitivity Calculator might have been preferable to static screenshots (but of course this adds technical risk to the presentation). Perhaps working through a few examples would be good (at least for ALMA). The examples in the Primer could be used, that way participants could read the science case beforehand then the presenter could show the details of how the spectral set-up is done, how a mosaic is set up, et cetera.

Keep up the good work.

This kind of meetings are very useful. I congratulate the organizers, lectureres, and all the people who supported this venue. It was very easy to connect and follow the transmissions. My only minor complain is that it would be nice to have audio and video on the same platform. Thanks a lot

Thank you! A very useful seminar.